## United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. Case No: 0419 3:20CR00276-001 Warren Eugene Hines Jr. USM No: 07103-509 Date of Original Judgment: 10/16/2022 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Pro se (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of  $\square$  the defendant  $\square$  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  $\square$  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:  $\boxtimes$  DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment months is reduced to (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/31/2022 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: May 23, 2024 Frank D. Whitney Effective Date: United States District Judge (if different from order date)

## This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:20CR00276-001  DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina  I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)  Previous Total Offense Level: Amended Total Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category:	DEFENDANT: Warren Eugene Hin	ies Jr.				
Amended Total Offense Level: Amended Total Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category: to months  ### MENDED GUIDELINE RANGE    The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.   to months	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		
Previous Total Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.  The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.	DISTRICT: Western District of No.	rth Carolina		-		
Previous Total Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.  The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.						
Previous Total Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  To months Amended Guideline Range:  The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.  The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.	I. COURT DETERMINATION	OF GUIDE	LINE RANG	GE (Prior to Any Departures)		
Previous Guideline Range: to months Amended Guideline Range: to months  II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE  The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.  The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.						
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE  ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.	Criminal History Category:			Criminal History Category:		
<ul> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> </ul>	Previous Guideline Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.	_					
time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.	$\Box$ The reduced sentence is within	n the amende	ed guideline i	range.		
is comparably less than the amended guideline range.						
	•			departure or Rule 35 reduction, an	nd the reduced	sentence
☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.	is comparably less than the am	nended guide	line range.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ The reduced sentence is above	the amende	d guideline r	ange.		

## III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing guidelines. "Status Points" were not applied to calculate Defendant's criminal history category in this case, therefore he is not eligible under Part A of the Amendment. Defendant also does not meet the criteria for a "Zero-Point Offender" reduction under § 4C1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines because he is excluded under subsection (a)(7): Defendant possessed firearms in connection with the offense, therefore he is not eligible under Part B of the Amendment.